FCC 12-152

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003)	)	ET Docket No. 03-137
	)	
And	)	
	)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services	)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the	)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of	)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and	)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95	)	

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Justin Padgett
2035 Nevada St.
San Marcos, TX 78666
Justin@blackdogrealestate.com
512-395-8139

February 5, 2013

AFFIDAVIT OF (Your Full Name Here)_____

State of Washington]

_Hays_____ County]

I, _Justin Padgett_____, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Comment round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is Justin Padgett . My address is 2035 Nevada St., San Marcos, TX 78666.

2. I am a Real Estate Broker.

3. I am an individual who is very sensitive to electromagnetic radiation. Approximately two years ago, I began having symptoms of headaches, dizziness and nervous system problems. Like most people, I had a cordless phone and a wireless modem near my computer. I had no idea of the risks posed by these devices to my health. When I measured the EMR emitted by these devices, the readings were greater than 2,000 microwatts/m2 for each of these devices. As soon as I turned these devices off, I immediately started feeling better. I have a smart meter that at times registers high levels of EMR. This has affected and killed my palm tree by EMR surges. The Cedar Elm tree that I have nearby has cancerous nodules. I have headaches when I sit very long in the window that is closest to my meter. I am part American Indian and it is my understanding that we are extra sensitive to EMR as well as women. I have reconfigured my devices and made the appropriate steps in my house to protect myself. I cannot however replace my meter.

4. Dozens of scientific studies have shown negative impacts of EMR on human health, even at low levels of exposure. The most commonly accepted guidelines are the Building Biology recommendations that list 1,000 microwatts/m2 as the threshold for "extreme concern" (http://www.baubiologie.de/downloads/english/richtwerte_2008_englisch.pdf). On May 31, 2011, the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radiofrequency fields as "possibly carcinogenic to humans." The FCC does not use biologically determined guidelines that affect health, but rather

uses a standard that measures thermal heating of biological tissue. The premise that there are no adverse impacts of EMR on the human body until it is cooked is completely ridiculous.

5. I urge the FCC to adopt new RF safety guidelines that take into account published research on the biological effects brought on by the ability of RF signals to communicate with living tissue, and more specifically, to consider the Building Biology guidelines for human health.

Respectfully submitted by

Justin Padgett
2035 Nevada St.
San Marcos, TX 78666
February 5, 2013

Document Download

7022311291.pdf (https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/7022311291.pdf)

ID	6017339039	**Proceeding**	ET 13-84
Name of Filer	Justin Padgett		
Type of Filing	COMMENT	**Filing Status**	DISSEMINATED
Viewing Status	Unrestricted	**File Number**	12-152
Exparte/Late Filed	N		
Total Pagecount	3		
Date Received	Feb 4, 2013	**Date Posted**	Feb 6, 2013
Address	2035 Nevada St.	**City**	San Marcos
State	TX	**ZIP**	78666