In Regard to the Federal Communications Commission's Response the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals' Remand of Its Decades-Old Radiofrequency / Microwave (RF/MW) Radiation Exposure Guidelines, Docket HAS-OASH-2626-0397:

DECLARATION

I, Luanne Moore, attest and affirm that the following statements are true, accurate, and within my personal knowledge.

1. I am an adult over 18 years of age. I hold a license as a professional engineer, with a chemical engineering specialty, in the State of Florida. I have over 40 years of engineering experience in industry, small business and regulatory processes.
2. Due to the dire health and environmental damages resulting throughout the United States from wireless infrastructures and devices licensed and otherwise authorized by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), I have developed my knowledge of telecommunications technology and laws over the past decade to apply my engineering skills effectively to evaluate this technology and discern what is needed to protect – and promote – life and property.
3. U.S. federal government agencies including the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) must immediately begin a process of incorporating the knowledge bases of public health science, environmental health science and the Public into science-based Human Exposure Standards, not mere guidelines, for Radiofrequency / Microwave (RF/MW) Radiation, alongside establishing with other agencies effective means of monitoring, with enforcement and prosecution.
4. Due to the failures of the FCC, EPA and FDA to establish adequate Human Exposure Standards for RF/MW Radiation to-date, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) must now be assigned to take the lead on getting this done. `
5. There are now at present, >14,000 pages of scientific RF/MW radiation bioeffects studies on the Record in Case 20-1025 EHT/CHD v FCC, which must be included in establishing science-based, Human Public and Occupational Exposure Standards.
6. The "EMF-Portal" of the RWTH Aachen University summarizes systematically scientific research data on the effects of electromagnetic fields (EMF). They use this term as a general one inclusive of RF/MW radiation. Approximately 11,326 peer-reviewed studies specific to the RF/MW spectrum (100 kHz to 300 GHz) appear in their database.
7. In the 1990s and early 2000s, the ANSI/IEEE guideline-producing Committee Chair, John Osepchuk, PhD consistently asserted that his Committee had "reviewed over 20,000 studies" in the process of establishing its Hazard Threshold for RF/MW radiation[A].

8. Zorach (Zory) Glaser Ph.D., LT, MSC, USNR, one of the most important scientists to study the impact of wireless technology radiation on human health, left an extensive archive of nearly 4,000 documents, now available to the public, providing clear evidence of the harmful effects of wireless irradiation, which were known long before cellphones and other wireless devices were commercialized in the early 1980s.
9. In contrast to RF/MW radiation studies, studies of lead, cigarette smoking, and individual pesticides, each numbering fewer than 10,000, are yet considered established science.
10. As part of the Public knowledge base, there were two well-known reviews provided by the Public to the FCC for its remanded Order 19-126: the *BioInitiative Report*[B] and *Building Biology*[C], these setting radiation limits of 0.0003-0.0006 µW/m2 and 0.1 µW/m2, respectively. The current FCC guideline – at 10,000,000 µW/m2 – is grossly inconsistent with the established science, at one billion and one million times the *BioInitiative* and *Building Biology* limits. Current Guidelines must be reduced by at least 5 orders of magnitude to be at levels that can be reasonably mitigated to even marginally protective numbers.
11. RF/MW radiation has been studied extensively. The existing knowledge base has no deficiency that would hinder the production of somewhat protective Human Exposure Standards. The extant research is fully sufficient to establish standards for continuous-wave (such as in microwave oven leakage) and pulse-modulated (for communications) RF/MW radiation. With the available volume of studies, the relevant Agencies are moreover capable of establishing special prohibitions against the use of wavelengths that affect living beings through resonance effects upon essential life substances such as water and oxygen, and enact more stringent limits against involuntary, constant-duration, and/or complex, heterodyning broadcast situations – while accommodating the weakest baby, the chronically ill or injured or institutionalized person, and the suffering elder.
12. Any additional research would refine what the Agencies can now establish. The failure of the FCC to set science-based standards for RF/MW radiation to-date has already caused vast harm to life and property, with cascading harm to future generations. Massive mal-investment in wireless technology has failed to serve and has terribly hurt the Public, despite the overarching, relevant Laws' expressed purpose of *promoting the safety of life and property*.
13. Bioeffects Research should be restored ongoingly; however, with Standards produced to the above critera, it will not show a profound need for change as the current Guildlines do. Examination of technologies that combine RF/MW radiation with other agents of harm such as toxic chemicals, ionizing radiation, nanotech, and other forms of physical-agent hazards will continue to be needed to protect the public safety. Research progress must not stop the production of the long overdue, science-based Standards.

14. By their failure to update their inadequate human exposure guidelines for RF/MW radiation with the current established science, the FCC has failed in the essential purpose of federal telecommunications regulation to **promote the safety of life and property.** This purpose is stated clearly as a core mandate under 47 U.S.C. § 151 47 and as a requirement for the management of wireless networks under 47 U.S.C. § 332(a)(1). It is applied throughout the rules in the prevention of harmful interference and for privacy in emergency calls.
15. The lack of willingness of the FCC to promote the safety of life and property is apparent in the very first statement in the Public Notice, *FCC Seeks Comment on RF Exposure issues subject to D.C. Circuit Remand in Environmental Health Trust V. FCC:* "Since the birth of the American wireless industry, the Federal Communications Commission has been entrusted to develop spectrum policies that bring economic prosperity, unleash innovation and investment, and promote national security as well as safety of life." Notably, the primary purpose of the Law has been moved to the end, and the words "and property" are omitted.
16. The FCC has indeed developed spectrum policies innovating and investing the Public's property, health and wealth into prosperity and security for the few. Our property, our homes and our bodies, are inundated with their unnatural, xenobiotic RF/MW radiation from their spectrum policies, licensing levels orders of magnitude over the public's stated needs for limits. No security is available to me or to any living body: we cannot physically protect or own bodies from their invasions. My heath is so impaired by ubiquitous RF/MW radiation too difficult and expensive to mitigate. Far from being safe, my life and property have been undermined, assaulted, and devastated.
17. The FCC makes gold-standard claims, in its Public Notice for comments on its guidelines. Those guidelines can be viewed as the 1991 Hazard Threshold[D] with an arbitrary safety factor of 10 applied. The FCC Hazard Threshold was based on experiments done in the 1980's and earlier. In one, eleven monkeys and twelve rats had the starting dosage increased, in what is understood to be torture, to the point they became unresponsive and could no longer seek or eat their food. These studies covered frequencies no higher than 6 GHz, while the FCC recklessly expanded the usable spectrum to 300 GHz. Nothing is "gold-standard" about the FCC guidelines, which are not at all a "Standard". The FCC appears in its request for public comment to show willful ignorance of research performed over the past century, relying instead on an echo chamber of global organizations and special interests for support.
18. Waiting these five post-remand years post-remand for the FCC to establish science-based Standards for RF/MW radiation, after everyone on the planet has become exposed with disregard for any objections, the FCC then determined the effects on them cannot be determined. They rejected their own study from the National Toxicology Program[E] that

showed evidence RF/MW radiation causes brain damage, cancer and DNA damage. The U.S. Government claims they are confused by their own study and ceased testing in January 2024. Notably their confusion is based on the results being from animal testing. Yet, that is the purported basis of the FCC guideline.

19. The selective vision of the FCC continued with disregard for the April 2025 findings, *New WHO-Funded Study Reports High Certainty of the Evidence Linking Cell Phone Radiation to Cancer in Animals*[F].
20. On September 7, 2026, the European Commission published its decision recognizing the new standard EN 50566:2017/A2:2025 in the Official Journal of the European Union[G], regarding wireless devices worn close to the body. It comes six years after France's formal objection filed in 2020 to obtain trunk SAR tests at contact (0 mm) rather than at a distance (5 mm). It is disgraceful that the FCC has fallen so far behind in promoting the safety of life and property that they must be put in check by foreign entities.
21. The FCC should wisely partner with more relevant and capable non-military agencies to revise dosage-related concepts, since "SAR" is incoherent. The early characterization of exposure with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) instead of Specific Absorption (SA) has been an obstacle to establishing what safe limits are. In 1996, the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) claimed to have relied on NCRP Report 86 - Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Fields, based on science through 1982, which made a case to use SA as a primary criterion in the first 16 chapters but then chose SAR in the 17th chapter. All power flux density readings (in $\mu W/m^2$) are mathematically derived from Specific Absorption Rate (SAR).
22. The FCC is failing its essential purpose of federal telecommunications regulation to promote the safety of life and property, in failing to comply with the Court's order in Case *20-1025 EHT/CHD v FCC* to provide, per the Court, science-based Human Exposure guidelines for RF/MW radiation, all this time causing harm at ever-increasing levels.
23. The FCC has lost their way and forgotten where they came from, exposing the Public not only to outrageous power flux density amplitudes from wireless infrastructures, far beyond need; but also to the broader Spectrum of wavelengths known to be more hazardous, to worse modulation characteristics, to far more complex heterodyning environments, and to longer and constant durations of involuntary exposure, all while placing these infrastructures and devices ever closer to homes, bedrooms and bodies – with complete disregard for one of the most basic requirements of the Communications Act of 1934 and the FCC's founding.

47 U.S. Code § 324:

"In all circumstances . . . all radio stations . . . **shall use** the
minimum amount of power necessary to carry out the communication desired."
(June 19, 1934, ch. 652, title III, § 324, 48 Stat. 1091.)

Accordingly, FCC must return to the mandated core purpose of the promotion of life and property and arrange the other relevant agencies – ANSI, EPA, FDA et al – and the top bioeffects experts to set science-based Standards.

24. This coming April I plan to retire at the age of 70. I am still employed, but under the Americans with Disabilities Act by an employer who has accommodated me for Electromagnetic Radiation Syndrome (EMR-S), and ADA Access Board-recognized syndrome involving injury into high-level reactivity to RF/MW radiation and extreme low-frequency fields and transients. This disabling condition has been recognized by the Federal Access Board since 2002. The 1990 Americans with Disabilities Act predates the 1996 Telecommunications Act by six years and makes those so disabled, part of a protected disabled class. I have been accommodated since August 2022, needing help to continue working as the ever-increasing levels of RF/MW radiation, which have become increasingly difficult to mitigate, are causing me ever-increasing pain, illness and disability.
25. Born in 1957, I attended the University of Virginia School of Engineering the second year they allowed women to enroll. I had the opportunity to work as an engineer through the height of the U.S. industrial period. I never thought about the electromagnetic exposures I was receiving. In my work I have always used all my senses, interfacing with machines, sensing vibration and frequency. But now, when I go on plant inspections, I am exposed to high-power RF/MW radiation transmissions that assault my senses, by devices that overpower and disable with unwelcome emissions that interfere with my monitoring capabilities. These devices are xenobiotic and offensive. They cause cognitive impairments that now interfere with my ability to do my job. If it were me alone experiencing this, I would simply retire. However, I can now see the symptoms of harm in others, that I have learned are caused by RF/MW radiation, and wish for them to be informed and protected, also.
26. I developed symptoms including leg cramps, sleeplessness, tinnitus, tiredness and brain fog, during the deployment of 4G. I reversed these through mitigation after getting an EMFields Acoustimeter AM10 EMF meter to measure my excessive exposures. A physician I saw in 2013 examined me and did blood tests, but the problem could not be identified. While I may have experienced health problems from RF/MW radiation illness at various times in my life, I could not be sure of the cause until after reversing the effects through mitigation. I realized I was a dead woman walking, facing the upcoming 5G deployments that would leave me no escape from harm.
27. Measurable RF/MW radiation levels in the area I live in are commonly on the order of 10,000 microwatts per meter squared as I have measured on my EMFields Acoustimeter AM10, driving and walking around the area surrounding where I live and work. Levels on the order of 100,000 microwatts per meter squared have been reported to me and I have seen my meter, with that maximum range, go off scale several times during my travels.

28. In my home, where I've never had wi-fi, levels were on the order of 100's of microwatts per meter squared. My bathroom shower, which I had been experiencing dizziness getting in and out of, was over 400 microwatts per meter squared, even when the neighbors had their wi-fi off.
29. Through continued mitigations, I reversed many of the harms I was experiencing, going into the 5G rollout. But knowing what was to come, I knew it would take more, and I decided to take action in defense of life and property. I have substantial experience and qualifications as a chemical engineer, which is good background to train up on the telecommunications laws and technology. While doing so, I met others who likewise wanted safer technology and organized a team of citizen scientists to oppose the unlawful and harmful 5G deployments.
30. My team of citizen scientists and I documented violations and filed complaints to the FCC on 32 permits for 24 wireless telecommunication facilities in our area, in 2020. These complaints consisted primarily of violations of basic permitting requirements and tower ownership, lack of need for the service and violations of NEPA as defined by the FCC's own rules. In response, the FCC changed its NEPA rules, dismissed the NEPA based on the changed rules, and told me I could not dispute the NEPA dismissal until they closed the complaint, which they have not done, to the best of my knowledge. It was clear to me then that the FCC was not fulfilling their mandate to promote the safety of life and property.
31. I have suffered horribly from the ever-increasing levels of RF/MW radiation, incurring physical and monetary damages, and have had to seek ADA accommodation to stay employed. The ongoing harms to life and property must be ended, starting with proper limits for human exposure guidelines for the technology the FCC is licensing to the detriment of those being caused pain, illness, disability and early death. These harms constitute several forms of prohibited discrimination.
32. Discrimination against those disabled by RF/MW radiation is not the only form of discrimination that is inherent to the use of RF/MW radiation on humans. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 is a Federal law that protects persons from discrimination based on their race, color or national origin in programs and activities that receive Federal financial assistance. The melanin (pigment) in human skin is a superconductor[H]. This makes the effects of wireless technology on humans different based on skin color.
33. FCC established a spectrum for the use of the Human Body for telecommunications in Medical Body Area Networks (MBANs) in 2012[I]. The FCC rules for the use of the human body in telecommunications, were finalized in 2014[J]. Human bodies are load-balanced[K] in these MBANs, while individuals are not asked for informed consent or provided any access to information on how their bodies are being used. The conductivity of a person's

skin would significantly affect a body's RF/MW radiation load balance, making the technology inherently discriminatory based on skin pigmentation (color).

34. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972[L] prohibits sex discrimination in any education program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. Surveys of people with EMR-S show a disproportionately higher number of women reporting problems indicating that the technology is also inherently discriminatory by gender. According to questionnaires distributed in the Netherlands[M], Finland[N], and Japan[O], the majority of those who experienced symptoms were women: 68 percent, 81 percent, and 95 percent, respectively. A recent study shows women are being more neuro-cognitively affected[P], supporting the survey results of women about EMR-S. The disproportionate harm reported by women from RF/MW radiation exposure functionally discriminates. This is prohibited in any educational program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.

35. The Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (Age Act) prohibits discrimination on the basis of age. Tragically, children have choice over or protection from exposures that their size and developmental needs obviously should require. The Environmental Health Trust has a collection of research on this subject. There are multiple collections of research available on how our children are adversely affected. Many advocate for children's protection, to the deaf ears of the FCC, in their most egregious failure. After the 1991 guidelines were published, EPA objected to FCC's and its ANSI/IEEE Committee's outrageously false claim that no vulnerable populations exist. Research from 2014[Q] clearly shows that children need more stringent exposure standards. The wireless technology that the FCC licenses and otherwise allows is inherently discriminatory in its harm to children, when it should promote and protect the safety of the most precious life of children. The Age Discrimination Act of 1975 is a national law prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving federal financial assistance.

36. It is also outrageous that the FCC allows our Public Utility Funds to be used, through faulty accounting, for the deployment of wireless radiation facilities while such flagrant discrimination exists. Such funding must immediately be halted and the funds used for their intended purpose. Even the most remote areas of the country could have had fiber optics to the premises (FTTP) made accessible, many times over, by the Public Utility Subsidies that have been wasted on toxic wireless infrastructure through the faulty accounting methods of the FCC.

37. With each passing deployment on the land, sea and in the sky, I have become more limited in my abilities and am always making new mitigations to stay functional and working. I daily battle pain and tiredness, and struggle to maintain mental focus. I have witnessed once-beautiful Florida go from lush, green foliage and vegetation full of wildlife

and human activity to a droughted, dried-out, dying, toxic place full of hideous cell towers everywhere transmitting excessive levels of unnatural, xenobiotic RF/MW radiation.

38. The natural weather patterns of southeast Florida where I live were apparently destroyed with the deployment of low earth orbit (LEO) satellites and a toxic, artificial system put in its place. Despite the State of Florida's ban on weather modification and geoengineering, the weather has not returned: the area remains in drought as the weather modification activities apparently continue. We have rolling thunder and lightning storms from grey clouds that produce very little rain. When the grey clouds come down to ground level, they produce a kind of smog that you would not want to breathe, but have no choice.

39. I can feel and hear infrasonics associated with the artificial weather, that have become more dreadful, running 24/7 since June 2025, with pressure waves that are like a physical assault. I have noticed them since 2020, occurring intermittently and at lesser intensity, before weather events such as rain. These now audibly resonate from the wireless telecommunications facility approximately 2,400 feet from my home. The tower has had 11 GHz and 18 GHz antennas on it since 2010, according to its building permits. This facility more recently regularly cranks up its "emergency" generator around 2 AM, with total disregard of the safety of life and property. Telecommunications do not regularly require emergency power at 2 AM and there is obviously something wrong with this telecommunications facility. This is one of the wireless telecommunications facilities my team of citizen scientists and I filed FCC complaints on in 2020. It is past due time for the FCC to return to its essential purpose to promote the safety of life and property.

40. The Abstract of Weather Control Patent US 2013/0015260 $A1^{R}$ states, "This patent describes a scientific explanation for what causes lightning and thunder and how to replicate it using high frequency soundwaves such as radar and microwaves. The uses for this are mainly in military weaponry and weather modification. This is a very high energy weapon, and is capable of great damage if not used properly." The point at which Weather Control becomes a weapon must be defined and limited to levels that are consistent with the Public's needs for the safety of life and property. No more excuses. No more experimentation. Such activity here is a failure, as we remain in drought.

41. These harms and hazards were predictable, but the objections did not stop deployment. Not one day should be wasted before taking corrective actions, starting with the establishment of the interagency group, with ANSI and bioeffects research experts, not IEEE, to produce the human exposure standards that are needed.

42. The FCC, ANSI, EPA, FDA and HHS must see to it that the health and safety of life and property is promoted by getting the following done:

a. Establishing RF/MW radiation human exposure standards that apply to infrastructures and devices.

b. Federal funding of any & all wireless infrastructures must immediately halt: not one more penny of the Public's utility subsidies should go towards the criminal and inherently discriminatory harms of hazardous RF/MW radiation.

c. Unlawfully permitted wireless facilities of all types – particularly those permitted by means of fraud in the inducement, with false claims about safety (through reliance on the FCC guidelines) and/or purpose – must be removed.

43. I have asserted herein no mere "concern" or other nonsubstantive matter, but solely matters of fact and law.

Thank you for your kind attention to these facts and, for those under Oath of Office, your high regard for your said Oath.

Signed the 21st Day of October, 2026



Luanne Moore, P.E.

October 21, 2026

Exhibit List for Affidavit of Luanne Moore, P.E, In Regard to the Federal Communications Commission's Response the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals' Remand of Its Decades-Old Radio Frequency Microwave (RF/MW) Radiation Exposure Guidelines, Docket HAS-OASH-2626-0397

[A] Exhibit A. Affidavit of Susan Clarke, October 21, 2026

[B] Exhibit B. https://bioinitiative.org/table-of-contents/ Bioinitiative 2012 A Rational for Biologically-based Exposure Standards for Low-Intensity Electromagnetic Radiation. (Document is not provided as it exceeds allowed file size.)

[C] Exhibit C. https://www.baubiologie.de/downloads/building-biology-guidelines-english.pdf Building Biology Evaluation Guidelines.

[D] Exhibit D. https://www.ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/NCRP-Report-86-.pdf NCRP Report No. 86 Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, Issued April 2, 1986. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements.

[E] Exhibit E. https://www.niehs.nih.gov/sites/default/files/2025-08/cellphonerfr_long_508.pdf Development and Testing of a Novel Whole-body Exposure System for Investigative Studies of Radiofrequency Radiation in Rodents, August 2025, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences National Institutes of Health.

[F] Exhibit F. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412025002338 Meike Mevissen, Angélique Ducray, Jerrold M. Ward, Annette Kopp-Schneider, James P. McNamee, Andrew W. Wood, Tania M. Rivero, Kurt Straif, Effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure on cancer in laboratory animal studies, a systematic review, Environment International, Volume 199,2025,109482,ISSN 0160-4120, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2025.109482.

[G] Exhibit G. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dec_impl/2026/2003 Official Journal of the European Union, Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2026/2003 of 4 September 2026.

[H] Exhibit H. https://illumin.usc.edu/the-powers-of-melanin/ , The Powers of Melanin, 4/1/2024, Caleb Flenoury, University of Southern California Illumin Magazine. (Document is not provided due to Copyright)

[I] Exhibit I. https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-dedicates-spectrum-enabling-medical-body-area-networks, FCC Dedicates Spectrum Enabling Medical Body Area Networks to Transform Patient Care, Lower Heath Care Costs and Spur Wireless Medical Innovation, New Rules Make U.S. First in the World to Allocate Spectrum for Medical Area Body Networks (MBANs), May 24, 2012.

[J] Exhibit J. https://transition.fcc.gov/bureaus/oet/ea/presentations/files/oct14/11-MBANs_Final-October_2014-SKJ.pdf Medical Body Area Networks (MBANs), Steven Jones Technical Research Branch, Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering and Technology Laboratory Division, October 21, 2014

[K] Exhibit K. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9885543 A Comprehensive Review of Wireless Body Area Network – Technologies, Challenges, Application and Energy Saving Techniques, IEEE, S. Menaga, R. Vanithamani and P. Hema, "A Comprehensive Review on Wireless Body Area Network - Technologies, Challenges, Application and Energy Saving Techniques," *2022 3rd International Conference on Electronics and Sustainable Communication Systems (ICESC)*, Coimbatore, India, 2022, pp. 541-548, doi: 10.1109/ICESC54411.2022.9885543.

[L] Exhibit L. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/05/19/2020-10512/nondiscrimination-on-the-basis-of-sex-in-education-programs-or-activities-receiving-federal , Federal Register, Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance

[M] Exhibit M. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.670.3235&rep=rep1&type=pdf , Electrohypersensitivity (EHS) in the Netherlands – A Questionnaire Survey, Hugo Schooneveld and Juliette Kuiper, December 2007

[N] Exhibit N. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23557856/ , Hagström M, Auranen J, Ekman R. Electromagnetic hypersensitive Finns: Symptoms, perceived sources and treatments, a questionnaire study. Pathophysiology. 2013 Apr;20(2):117-22. doi: 10.1016/j.pathophys.2013.02.001. Epub 2013 Apr 1. PMID: 23557856.

[O] Exhibit O. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22458999/ Kato Y, Johansson O. Reported functional impairments of electrohypersensitive Japanese: A questionnaire survey. Pathophysiology. 2012 Apr;19(2):95-100. doi: 10.1016/j.pathophys.2012.02.002. Epub 2012 Mar 27. PMID: 22458999.

[P] Exhibit P. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.64898/2026.03.06.710073v1 , Sex-Specific Vulnerability to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Radiation-Induced Reproductive and Neurological Impairment in Mice, Kai Zhua, Feilong Li, Zhiyong Liu, Jiaming Guo, Xiwen Yang, Chanyi Li, Jiaming Shen, Linhui Wang, Hongli Yan. (Not Certified by Peer Review)

[Q] Exhibit Q. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2213879X14000583 , L. Lloyd Morgan, Santosh Kesari, Devra Lee Davis, Why children absorb more microwave radiation than adults: The consequences, Journal of Microscopy and Ultrastructure, Volume 2, Issue 4, 2014, Pages 197-204, ISSN 2213-879X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmau.2014.06.005.

[R] Exhibit R. https://patents.google.com/patent/US20130015260A1/en Weather Control Patent US 2013/0015260 A1, Jan. 17, 2013, David Joseph Schulte, Galesburg, MI