Supporting Scientific References

Submitted in support of public comment — Docket HHS-OASH-2026-0397 (Request for Information on Electromagnetic Fields, Radiofrequency Radiation, and Wireless Radiation Exposure)

Mechanistic and Biological Evidence

1. Hwang, Y., Kim, J., et al. “Electromagnetic field-inducible *in vivo* gene switch for remote spatiotemporal control of gene expression.” *Cell* 189, no. 11 (2026): 3465–3480.e23. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2026.03.029.
   Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2026.03.029

2. Pall, M. L. “Electromagnetic fields act via activation of voltage-gated calcium channels to produce beneficial or adverse effects.” *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine* 17, no. 8 (2013): 958–965. DOI: 10.1111/jcmm.12088.
   Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.12088

3. Yakymenko, I., Tsybulin, O., Sidorik, E., et al. “Oxidative mechanisms of biological activity of low-intensity radiofrequency radiation.” *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 35, no. 2 (2016): 186–202. DOI: 10.3109/15368378.2015.1043557.
   Available at: https://doi.org/10.3109/15368378.2015.1043557

4. Engels, S., Schneider, N.-L., Lefeldt, N., et al. “Anthropogenic electromagnetic noise disrupts magnetic compass orientation in a migratory bird.” *Nature* 509, no. 7500 (2014): 353–356. DOI: 10.1038/nature13290.
   Available at: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24805233/

Developmental Exposure and Sensitive Populations

5. Aldad, T. S., Gan, G., Gao, X.-B., Taylor, H. S. “Fetal radiofrequency radiation exposure from 800–1900 MHz-rated cellular telephones affects neurodevelopment and behavior in mice.” *Scientific Reports* 2 (2012): 312. DOI: 10.1038/srep00312.
   Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00312

6. Divan, H. A., Kheifets, L., Obel, C., Olsen, J. “Prenatal and postnatal exposure to cell phone use and behavioral problems in children.” *Epidemiology* 19, no. 4 (2008): 523–529. DOI: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e318175dd47. (Replicated: Divan et al., *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* 66, no. 6 (2012): 524–529.)
   Available at: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18467962/

7. Foerster, M., Thielens, A., Joseph, W., Eeftens, M., Röösli, M. “A prospective cohort study of adolescents’ memory performance and individual brain dose of microwave radiation from wireless communication.” *Environmental Health Perspectives* 126, no. 7 (2018): 077007. DOI: 10.1289/EHP2427.
   Available at: https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP2427

8. Gandhi, O. P., Morgan, L. L., de Salles, A. A., Han, Y.-Y., Herberman, R. B., Davis, D. L. "Exposure limits: the underestimation of absorbed cell phone radiation, especially in children." *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 31, no. 1 (2012): 34–51. DOI: 10.3109/15368378.2011.622827.

Available at: https://doi.org/10.3109/15368378.2011.622827

Exposure Limits and Regulatory / Legal Context

9. International Commission on the Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Fields (ICBE-EMF). "Scientific evidence invalidates health assumptions underlying the FCC and ICNIRP exposure limit determinations for radiofrequency radiation: implications for 5G." *Environmental Health* 21, no. 1 (2022): 92. DOI: 10.1186/s12940-022-00900-9.

Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-022-00900-9

10. *Environmental Health Trust, et al. v. Federal Communications Commission*, No. 20-1025, 9 F.4th 893 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 13, 2021). The court held that the FCC failed to provide a reasoned explanation for its determination that its 1996 radiofrequency exposure limits adequately protect against non-cancer and long-term health effects.

Full opinion: law.justia.com · FCC docket page: fcc.gov

Links resolve to the official publisher page (via DOI), the U.S. National Library of Medicine (PubMed / NCBI Bookshelf), or the court record. Each study is cited for the specific finding it reports; findings from observational studies indicate association, not established causation, and are presented here as grounds for further federal research.