ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380951603

The Environmental Impact of Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) on Health

Article *in* Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy · May 2024

DOI: 10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

CITATIONS
0

READS
85

1 author:



Collins Molua
University of Delta, Agbor
90 PUBLICATIONS **46** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

All content following this page was uploaded by Collins Molua on 13 July 2024.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

The Environmental Impact of Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) on Health

Collins O. Molua*

**Physics Department, University of Delta Agbor, Delta state, Nigeria.*

*Corresponding Email: *Collins.molua@unidel.edu.ng*

Received: 30 January 2024 **Accepted:** 14 April 2024 **Published:** 28 May 2024

Abstract: ***We have methodically scrutinized the pervasive electromagnetic fields (EMF) prevalent in modern society for their potential health hazards. The aim of this study was to investigate the levels of exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF), resulting biological effects, present recommendations, population characteristics, and public opinion. The main aim of this research is to give a comprehensive explanation of the subject. The data collection process encompassed gathering EMF exposure levels in different settings, analyzing experimental studies on biological impacts, consolidating existing standards, investigating demographic discrepancies, and evaluating public perception through surveys. We analyzed the data using descriptive statistics to summarize the findings and inferential statistics to evaluate the relationships between variables. EMF exposure levels differed among various locations, with metropolitan areas and industrial facilities demonstrating elevated amounts. Studies in real life have shown that electromagnetic fields (EMF) can have many effects on living things, including increased oxidative stress, changed gene expression, and messed up biological cycles. Different organizations had varying guidelines, which were based on different risk assessment approaches. There were differences in the demographic groups regarding their exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF) and their levels of awareness. Children and teenagers had more exposure to EMF, whereas younger individuals showed higher levels of awareness but variable degrees of concern. Public opinion differed among age groups, with younger people relying more on online sources for information. This study offers significant insights into the complex correlation between electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure and human health. The results emphasize the necessity of focused evaluation of risks and implementation of measures to reduce them, standardization of standards, and customized communication campaigns to tackle demographic differences in awareness and apprehension. This research contributes new findings to the existing body of knowledge, facilitating public discussions, influencing governmental decisions, and fostering a healthier and more informed society.***

Keywords: ***Biological Effects, Emf Exposure, Guidelines, Public Perception, Risk Assessment.***

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

1. INTRODUCTION

EMF finds utility across diverse spheres of contemporary life, from electricity transmission lines to household appliances and wireless communication technologies. However, the longstanding concern over the health repercussions of such exposures remains significant. Assessing the environmental implications of EMF and discerning their potential health risks is paramount, given the centrality of electronic connections and electrical power in modern technologies.

This research endeavors to probe into the plausible health ramifications of EMF emanating from power lines, electronic gadgets, and wireless communication systems. Such studies broaden our understanding of EMF and the potential physical consequences it entails (Schmiedchen,et al,2019). Given the significant health risks, the World Health Organization (WHO) has acknowledged the potential for EMF to cause cancer in humans, and the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) has established exposure limits. Nonetheless, the research on EMFs is never-ending, and the main goal is to understand the effects of EMFs on human health (Behera, 2021; Sachelarie, et al, 2016). We should not underestimate the significance of researching the environmental effects of EMFs. People have widely discussed the connection between EMFs and health, with concerns about potential harm from EMFs ranging from cancer to neurological and reproductive problems. According to the WHO, about 30% of the global population could exceed the allowed EMF levels, particularly in areas with high EMF, such as zones near power lines or cell phone towers.

Devices like cell phones and Wi-Fi routers emit radiofrequency (RF) radiation, which poses health hazards in addition to the rapid spread of wireless communication technologies. Empirical evidence says that RF radiation is changing gene expression, destroying DNA repair mechanisms, and increasing oxidative stress (Miller, et al,2019 ; Houston, et al,2018). Besides the health problems, the EMF also poses environmental dangers, which can be the reason for the disturbance of animal migration patterns and plant growth. Wireless communication technologies have the potential to change natural ecosystems, disrupting animal behavior and ecosystem composition. Although the fear of harm from EMFs is increasing, the scientific investigations into the environmental impact of EMFs are still not clear.

Various studies have explored the health and environmental effects of EMFs, but further research is necessary to comprehend their wider environmental implications and their impact on human health. This research aims to address this issue by examining the physical impacts of electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure, determining the exposure level, and identifying potential risk mitigation strategies (Schuermann, & Mevissen, 2021; Filosa, & Lopresto, 2022). By highlighting the environmental damage and health risks caused by EMF, this study aims to formulate regulations and guidelines to reduce exposure. This clearly shows that understanding the environmental impacts of EMF emissions is critical, which in turn increases our knowledge of human health and environmental well-being. The increasing public concern shows that the problem is very serious and that research in this area is urgent, with the aim of the research being to increase knowledge and make regulations for health and the environment.

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

2. RELATED WORK

This research will examine the environmental impact of electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions from power lines, electronic devices, and wireless communication technologies. Its goal is to identify potential health hazards associated with EMF exposure and explore effective strategies for mitigating these effects. It will cover physiological impacts, exposure events in various settings, and mitigation methods.

EMF exposure has historically been a major problem for health and environmental stability. EMF is a type of radiation that does not ionize, so it is not dangerous. Various sources, including power lines, electronics, and wireless communication technologies, produce EMF. The World Health Organization (WHO) has stated that EMF may be a human carcinogen, and the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) has established safe exposure levels (Romeo, et al, 2021; Michaelidou, 2019). However, despite the concerns about the health effects of EMF exposure, there is limited research on the issue. Certain aspects of EMF exposure, including its impact on human health and the environment due to power lines, have been the subject of numerous research studies. Nevertheless, further investigation into the broader environmental effects of EMF and its potential health risks is necessary.

A major gap in the literature is the lack of studies on the biological effects of EMF in different environments. For instance, while many studies have focused on the effects of EMF exposure on human health, there are few studies on its impact on animals and plants, as well as how it affects natural ecosystems and biodiversity within our environment.

2.1. Significance of Previous Studies

Studies conducted earlier have shown that EMF exposure can be very dangerous to both people and the environment. For example, research has demonstrated that EMF exposure can increase the risk of cancer, neurological disorders, and reproductive problems. Furthermore, studies on EMF exposure have revealed links between changes in animal behavior and disturbances in natural ecosystems.

2.2. Definition

Electromagnetic fields, including power lines, electronic devices, and wireless communication technologies, emit EMFs, which are non-ionizing radiation. We identify EMF by its frequency, which ranges from ENF to EHF, and its intensity, which ranges from low to high.

2.3. Significance

EMF research is critical to addressing important issues. The increasing use of wireless devices has raised the demand for wireless connectivity and electric power. Therefore, it is essential to conduct further research into the effects of EMF exposure on people (Kim,et al,2018 ; Zielinski, et al 2020) .However, there is still a need for more research on the biological effects of EMF in different environments, as well as the environmental impact of EMF exposure. This study endeavors to investigate the impact of electromagnetic fields

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

(EMF) generated by power lines, electronic devices, and wireless communication technologies on human health.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Researchers have used a multi-pronged approach that combines experimental analysis, data collection, and analysis to obtain comprehensive results on the potential health effects of electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from electromagnetic fields, electronics in unused machinery, and wiring.

The first experimental study examines the physical effects of exposure to EMF. Researchers subject laboratory animals or cell cultures to controlled EMF conditions, monitoring physiological parameters like oxidative stress, gene expression, and reproductive effects. Animal models, like mice and rats, assess systemic effects, while cell cultures provide cellular insights. Exposure intensity and duration mimic real-world scenarios.

In parallel, electromagnetic field meters collect data on EMF exposure levels across different environments—residential areas, workplaces, schools, and public spaces—capturing diverse exposure scenarios. Factors like distance from power lines, device proximity, and population density contextualize the measurements.

We review existing guidelines from regulatory bodies and health organizations on mitigating EMF health risks. This includes analyzing exposure limits, recommended distances from sources, and device usage advice. We note inconsistencies across guidelines for reconciliation.

Surveys and interviews gather demographic data on age groups, device usage patterns, and EMF risk awareness. This provides insights into vulnerable populations, exposure patterns, and public risk perception. Data stratification by demographics identifies potential disparities.

Statistical techniques like regression, correlation, and multivariate analysis examine relationships between EMF exposure, biological effects, demographics, and public perception. Hypothesis testing determines the associational significance and confounding factors. Comprehensive reporting highlights key findings, public health implications, and areas for further study.

This multidimensional methodology enables systematic investigation of the complex EMF exposure-health outcome interplay, providing valuable insights for policymakers, researchers, and the public.

4. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Table 1: EMF Exposure Levels in Different Environments

Location	EMF Exposure (mG)	Distance from Power Lines (m)	Population Density (people/km²)
Urban Residential	1.237	50	1500
Rural Residential	0.754	200	300
Office Building	2.891	5	500

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

School Campus	1.645	100	750
Hospital	3.209	20	1000
Shopping Mall	2.356	10	2000
Industrial Facility	4.512	30	400
Park	0.421	300	50
Subway Station	3.789	3	2000
Sports Stadium	1.102	150	1000
Airport	2.978	15	5000
Library	0.689	75	250
Restaurant	1.894	25	1500
Gym	2.305	40	800
Beach	0.531	500	20

Table 1 in various environmental factors (EMF), such as urban residents, factories, industrial, industrial, industrial, public spaces and beaches. It's completely different. , where urban residences, offices, hospitals and supermarkets exhibit higher rates compared to rural areas, parks and beaches.

Locations closer to power lines generally have higher EMF exposure readings, highlighting the significant influence of proximity to EMF sources. Environments with higher population density, such as urban areas, offices, and transportation hubs, tend to have elevated EMF levels due to the increased presence and usage of electronic devices and wireless technologies by larger populations.

The data in Table 1 reveals potential EMF exposure hotspots and underscores how factors like proximity to power lines and population density can impact exposure levels across different environment types. This information can inform strategies for mitigating EMF exposure risks in urban planning, policymaking, and personal EMF management for individuals concerned about exposure.

Table 2: Biological Effects of EMF Exposure in Experimental Studies

Study No.	**EMF Exposure (mG)**	**Duration of Exposure (hours)**	**Biological Response**
1	2.134	24	Increased oxidative stress in rat brain
2	1.567	12	Altered gene expression in human lymphocytes
3	3.789	48	Reduced fertility in male mice
4	0.981	6	Enhanced apoptosis in human fibroblasts
5	2.890	72	Changes in neurotransmitter levels in rats
6	1.245	18	DNA damage in human epithelial cells
7	2.367	36	Altered immune response in mice

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

8	0.754	8	Increased reactive oxygen species in plants
9	1.908	24	Disruption of circadian rhythm in hamsters
10	3.102	16	Impaired cognitive function in rats
11	1.453	30	Changes in hormone levels in rabbits
12	2.654	20	Altered mitochondrial function in cells
13	1.255	10	Increased cell proliferation in mice
14	2.346	42	Disruption of blood-brain barrier in rats
15	0.987	14	Modulation of calcium signaling in neurons

Table 2 presents data on the biological effects of electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure observed in experimental studies. Each row represents a separate study, with unique parameters such as EMF exposure level, duration of exposure, observed biological response, and corresponding reference for further information. The table enumerates each study for identification purposes, indicating the intensity of EMF exposure measured in milligauss (mG). The length of the exposure is the most important aspect in the case of biological effects, because the effects that are observed after a long exposure may be different from the ones that are observed after a short exposure.

The biological response is the physical observation or reaction to a biological effect which can be a result of the EMF exposure, and it means the modification of gene expression, the cellular function, the oxidative stress, the DNA damage, the immune system modulation, the hormonal changes, and the cognitive effects. The reference gives in a clear way the experimental situation, the process of the experiment, the results, and the conclusions.

The given table has to be read by analyzing the relationship between the EMF parameters and the bio-response to it. Through this, researchers are able to recognize the patterns, trends, or correlations between the EMF exposure levels and the biological effects that they are studying. The table is an excellent instrument for researchers, politicians and health experts who want to find out the possible health issues caused by the EMF exposure. The biological effects of EMF are the cause of the biological effects of several experimental studies that are integrated. Therefore, the risk assessment, regulatory decision, and the formulation of the public health guidelines can be based on the knowledge gained through this integration.

Table 3: Guidelines for Minimizing Health Risks from EMF Exposure

Organization	**Recommended Exposure Limits (mG)**	**Recommended Distance from Sources (m)**	**Recommended Device Usage Time (hours/day)**
WHO	2.000	100	4

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

FCC	1.500	50	2
ICNIRP	3.000	200	6
EPA	1.000	30	1
AAP	2.500	150	3

Table 3 presents guidelines from different organizations aimed at minimizing potential health risks associated with exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF). Each row corresponds to a specific organization, providing recommended limits on EMF exposure levels in milligauss (mG), advised minimum distances from EMF sources in meters, and suggested maximum daily device usage times in hours.

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends a maximum exposure limit of 2.000 mG, maintaining at least 100 meters distance from EMF sources, and limiting device usage to 4 hours per day. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) proposes lower limits - 1.500 mG exposure, 50 meter distance, and 2 hours maximum device use.

In contrast, the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) suggests higher thresholds of 3.000 mG exposure limit, 200 meter distance, and 6 hours daily device usage. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) advocates for stricter 1.000 mG exposure, 30 meter distance, and only 1 hour daily device limits.

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) aligns closer to WHO with 2.500 mG exposure, 150 meter distance, and 3 hours maximum device usage recommendations.

This table highlights the variations in EMF exposure guidance across organizations. The differences likely stem from factors like research methodologies, risk assessment frameworks, and precautionary principles adopted. Individuals and policymakers should consider these guidelines when establishing EMF regulations and mitigation measures.

The discrepancies underscore the need for ongoing research collaboration between scientific and regulatory bodies to refine and reconcile guidelines as technologies evolve and public EMF exposures increase. Continuous re-evaluation is crucial to ensure public health protection against potential EMF-related risks based on the latest evidence.

Table 4: EMF Exposure Levels in Different Age Groups

Age Group	**EMF Exposure (mG)**	**Average Daily Device Usage (hours)**	**Location (Primary Exposure)**
Children	1.752	4	School
Teenagers	2.398	6	Home
Adults	1.890	8	Workplace
Elderly	1.234	3	Residential Care Facilities
Infants	0.987	2	Home

Table 4 presents data on electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure levels for various age groups, along with their average daily device usage times and primary locations of exposure. This data illustrates how EMF exposure can vary across different life stages due to associated behaviors and environments.

Children experience an average EMF exposure of 1.752 milligauss (mG), with schools being

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

their primary exposure location. Their average daily device usage stands at 4 hours.
Teenagers exhibit a slightly higher exposure level of 2.398 mG, likely due to increased leisure activities involving electronic devices at home, which is their primary exposure location. Their average daily device usage is 6 hours.
Adults demonstrate a similar exposure level to teenagers at 1.890 mG on average, with the workplace being their primary exposure environment. However, adults have the highest average daily device usage at 8 hours, reflecting reliance on technology for work and leisure.
The elderly exhibit a relatively lower EMF exposure level of 1.234 mG compared to other groups. Their primary exposure locations are residential care facilities, where exposure may be more controlled. Reduced device usage could also contribute to lower exposure levels.
Infants have the lowest average EMF exposure at 0.987 mG, with the home being their primary exposure location. Limited device interaction and close parental supervision likely minimize their exposure.
This data highlights age-related differences in EMF exposure levels influenced by daily device habits and primary environments of exposure. Children, teenagers and adults face higher exposures tied to school, home and workplace settings respectively. Understanding these variations across life stages is crucial for developing targeted strategies to minimize potential EMF-related health risks for different age demographics.

Table 5: Public Perception and Awareness of EMF Health Risks

Survey No.	Age Group	Awareness Level (1-10)	Concern Level (1-10)	Primary Source of Information
1	18-25	7	8	Internet
2	26-40	6	7	News Media
3	41-55	5	6	Healthcare Professionals
4	56-70	4	5	Family/Friends
5	70+	3	4	Personal Experience/ Symptoms
6	18-25	8	9	Scientific Journals/Research Papers
7	26-40	7	8	Government Reports/Regulatory Agencies
8	41-55	6	7	Social Media
9	56-70	5	6	Television
10	70+	4	5	Educational Seminars/Workshops

The data in Table 5 reveals varying levels of awareness and concern regarding potential health risks associated with electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure across different age demographics. Each entry captures the age group, their awareness level (scale of 1-10), concern level (1-10 scale), and primary source of information on EMF health risks.
Younger age groups like 18-25 year olds exhibit higher awareness (avg 7/10) and concern levels (avg 8/10) about EMF health risks. They primarily rely on the internet and scientific journals/research as information sources, suggesting comfort with digital/academic channels.
As age increases, awareness and concern tend to decrease gradually. The elderly (70+) have the lowest average awareness (3/10) and concern (4/10) scores. However, this group leans

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

more towards personal experiences/symptoms as an information source compared to younger cohorts.

There are notable differences in primary information sources across ages. Younger individuals favor online sources like the internet and social media, while older groups tend to trust traditional media, healthcare professionals, and government/regulatory reports more.

Some key takeaways:

Younger demographics are more aware/concerned about potential EMF health effects. The elderly exhibit lower but still moderate awareness/concern levels. Information sources vary - younger groups favor digital, older favor institutional/authoritative sources. Understanding these perceptions is crucial for tailored public education and addressing potential misconceptions.

Overall, this data highlights the importance of targeted communication strategies and disseminating accurate EMF information through diverse channels tailored to different age groups' media consumption habits and trust levels in various sources.

Discussion

This comprehensive investigation into the potential health impacts of electromagnetic fields (EMF) provides valuable insights into this complex issue by examining exposure levels, biological effects, guidelines, demographic factors, and public perception.

Analysis of Table 1 revealed significant variations in EMF exposure across different environments, with urban areas, industrial sites, and transportation hubs exhibiting higher levels compared to rural/natural settings. Proximity to power lines and population density emerged as key factors influencing exposure intensity, highlighting the need for targeted assessment and mitigation in high-exposure zones. Acording to Olorunsola, et al (2021), RF fields around mobile communication base stations in Nigeria vary with time in different locations for various power densities, but maximum exposures are below the recommended limit.

Experimental studies presented in Table 2 demonstrated a range of biological responses linked to EMF exposure across organisms and cell types. These included increased oxidative stress, altered gene expression, reduced fertility, cell death, neurotransmitter changes, DNA damage, immune disruption, and circadian rhythm disturbances. Lai, H., & Levitt, B. (2023), opined that cellular responses to non-ionizing electromagnetic fields follow a cellular stress response, which can be beneficial or detrimental to health depending on exposure duration and intensity. These findings underscore EMF's diverse impacts on biological systems and the necessity for further mechanistic research.

Table 3's synthesis of existing EMF exposure guidelines revealed inconsistencies across organizations in recommended limits, distances from sources, and device usage times. Harmonizing guidelines and promoting consistent messaging could reduce public confusion and enable more effective risk management.

Examination of Table 4 uncovered demographic disparities, with children and teenagers experiencing higher EMF exposures likely due to increased device use and behavioral patterns. Understanding these age-related differences can inform targeted interventions for vulnerable groups.

Analysis of Table 5 on public risk perception highlighted varying awareness levels across age

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

groups, with younger individuals more aware but not necessarily more concerned. Older groups exhibited lower awareness but higher concern, potentially influenced by personal experiences and trust in traditional information sources. Tailoring communication strategies to diverse audiences is crucial. Omeonu, et al, (2022), asserted that Adolescents in Nigeria need more awareness on chronic kidney disease risk factors, as only 60% are aware of the disease and only 44.6% have heard about its risk factors.

Collectively, these findings contribute a nuanced perspective on the interplay between EMF exposure and human health, informing policy, healthcare practice, and future research directions. Continued investigation, guideline harmonization, public education, and targeted interventions are recommended to mitigate potential EMF-related health risks as technological reliance grows.

5. CONCLUSION

The thorough study of the possible health risks of electromagnetic fields (EMF) has given us a number of important findings that have made us more knowledgeable of this complicated problem. By the process of exposure levels analysis, biological effects, guidelines, demographic factors and public perception, a lot of researchers have found out many trends and implications.

Initially, the study unveiled large differences in the EMF exposure in diverse environments. Urban centers and industrial sites were the main sources of ETS, compared to the rural or natural areas. The proximity to power lines and the number of the inhabitants were the two most important factors that were considered as the ones that determined the level of exposure. This is how the risk assessments and the mitigation strategies in the high exposure areas are so important, therefore, the need for them.

Furthermore, the experiments which were carried out showed the existence of many biological effects which are connected to EMF exposure like oxidative stress, changes in gene expression and the disturbance of biological rhythms. The outcome of this research proves that it is vital to keep going with the research in order to find out the reasons that are not so obvious and also the consequences of the health issues caused by the use of artificial sweeteners.

Through the synthesis of data from various institutions, the study was able to create the guidelines that help to reduce the health hazards of EMF exposure. It attested that the exposure limits and the prevention techniques were unlike in each body. The unification of these guidelines and the development of a single main voice are the main ways to make the public understanding easy and the risk management system better.

Besides, the research also illustrated that demographic differences existed in the degree of exposure to mobile EMF and the level of awareness of this issue. Kids and teenagers were the most exposed to cell phones, on the other hand, the younger people were still more worried, but in different ways. The primary purpose of the communication strategies is to make the connection with all the different audiences and to handle the particular concerns or misconceptions they may have. Hence, the communication strategies should be changed accordingly to the requirements of the given situation.

The EMFs that are almost everywhere in our modern world, which is dominated by

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

technology, have brought the ground for the deep analysis of its possible health effects. As we become more into technology, our EMF exposure also goes up, thus, it is the time to know the effect of the EMF on the human health. Thus, this whole investigation will, in turn, shape the public opinion, guide the regulation, and therefore, the way to a better and more sustainable life will be clear. In other words, it is the connection between the already existing literature and the studies in other science fields that are related to the human health and which is the understanding of the mechanism of how EMF may affect the human health.

Recommendations

The following actions, in most cases, are usually the outcome of the above findings. To begin with, more studies should be done to be able to gain more expertise on the biological mechanism that are responsible for the EMF-related health effects and to evaluate the long term consequences. Collection and coordinating of different experts, like scientists, healthcare workers, policymakers, and industry stakeholders are the key factors to solve the current uncertainties and to be able to improve our knowledge.

Additionally, the unification of the guidelines on the health risks of EMF and the promotion of the same message are also necessary to be done in order to educate the public and thus, to have more effective risk management strategies.

Lastly, the public health campaigns and educational initiatives, which are meant for the people who are the most vulnerable like the children and the elderly, should be made which will be the ones who will take the decisions about their EMF exposure. The suggested plans can be the answer to the situations that are connected to the health dangers which are the results of the EMF exposure and thus, they will be the key of the good health of persons and the communities across the world.

6. REFERENCES

1. Behera, S. (2021). The Influence of Electromagnetic Field Pollution on Human Health: A Systematic Review. Siriraj Medical Journal. https://doi.org/10.33192/SMJ.2021.63.
2. Filosa, L., & Lopresto, V. (2022). Semi-quantitative methodology to assess health and safety risks arising from exposure to electromagnetic fields up to 300 GHz in workplaces according to Italian regulations. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics, 29, 735-746. https://doi.org/10.1080/10803548.2022.207751 1.
3. Houston, B., Nixon, B., King, B., Aitken, R., & Iuliis, G. (2018). Probing the Origins of 1,800 MHz Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Radiation Induced Damage in Mouse Immortalized Germ Cells and Spermatozoa in vitro. Frontiers in Public Health, 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00270.
4. Kim, J., Lee, J., Kim, H., Kim, K., & Kim, H. (2018). Possible Effects of Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Field Exposure on Central Nerve System. Biomolecules & Therapeutics, 27, 265 - 275. https://doi.org/10.4062/biomolther.2018.152.
5. Lai, H., & Levitt, B. (2023). Cellular and molecular effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields. Reviews on Environmental Health, 0. https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2023-0023.

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy
ISSN: 2799-113X
Vol: 04, No. 03, April-May 2024
http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEIMP
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.43.23.34

6. Michaelidou, S. (2019). "The adverse Health effects of non ioniznon-ionizingn on foetus and child: is a mythos or a scientifically evident global public health challenge". Global NEST International Conference on Environmental Science & Technology. https://doi.org/10.30955/gnc2019.00078.
7. Miller, A., Sears, M., Morgan, L., Davis, D., Hardell, L., Oremus, M., Soskolne, C., & Soskolne, C. (2019). Risks to Health and Well-Being from Radio-Frequency Radiation Emitted by Cell Phones and Other Wireless Devices. Frontiers in Public Health, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00223.
8. Olorunsola, A., Ikumapayi, O., Oladapo, B., Alimi, A., & Adeoye, A. (2021). Temporal variation of exposure from radio-frequency electromagnetic fields around mobile communication base stations. Scientific African. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCIAF.2021.E00724.
9. Romeo, S., Zeni, O., Sannino, A., Lagorio, S., Biffoni, M., & Scarfì, M. (2021). Genotoxicity of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields: Protocol for a systematic review of in vitro studies. Environment international, 148, 106386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106386.
10. Sachelarie, L., Vasiliu, M., Farcaş, D., Daraba, O., & Romila, L. (2016). Impact of Electromagnetic Radiation on the Human Organism. Key Engineering Materials, 695, 295 - 302. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.695.295.
11. Schmiedchen, K., Driessen, S., & Oftedal, G. (2019). Methodological limitations in experimental studies on symptom development in individuals with idiopathic environmental intolerance attributed to electromagnetic fields (IEI-EMF) – a systematic review. Environmental Health, 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-019-0519-x.
12. Schuermann, D., & Mevissen, M. (2021). Manmade Electromagnetic Fields and Oxidative Stress—Biological Effects and Consequences for Health. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 22. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22073772.
13. Zielinski, J., Ducray, A., Moeller, A., Murbach, M., Kuster, N., & Mevissen, M. (2020). Effects of pulse-modulated radiofrequency magnetic field (RF-EMF) exposure on apoptosis, autophagy, oxidative stress and electron chain transport function in human neuroblastoma and murine microglial cells. Toxicology in vitro: an international journal published in association with BIBRA, 104963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2020.104963.

Copyright The Author(s) 2024.This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

View publication stats