2013 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Revised Voluntary Guidelines for Communication Tower Design, Siting, Construction, Operation, Retrofitting, and Decommissioning –

Suggestions Based on Previous USFWS Recommendations to FCC Regarding WT Docket No. 03-187, FCC 06-164, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, "Effects of Communication Towers on Migratory Birds" (2007), Docket No. 08-61, FCC's Antenna Structure Registration Program (2011), Service 2012 Wind Energy Guidelines, and Service 2013 Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance

Submitted by:

Albert M. Manville, II, Ph.D., C.W.B.
Senior Wildlife Biologist & Avian-Structural Lead
Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
4401 N. Fairfax Dr. -- MBSP-4107
Arlington, VA 22203
703/358-1963, albert_manville@fws.gov

Last updated: September 27, 2013

[Comm Tower 2013 Revised Guidance-to FCC-AMM.docx]

1. Collocation of the communications equipment on an existing communication tower or other structure (e.g., billboard, water and transmission tower, distribution pole, or building mount) is strongly recommended. Depending on tower load factors and communication needs, from 6 to 10 providers should collocate on an existing tower or structure provided that frequencies do not overlap/"bleed" or where frequency length or broadcast distance requires higher towers. New towers should be designed structurally and electronically to accommodate the applicant's antenna, and antennas of at least 2 additional users – ideally 6 to 10 additional users, if possible – unless the design would require the addition of lights and/or guy wires to an otherwise unlit and/or unguyed tower. This recommendation is intended to reduce the number of towers needed in the future.

2. If collocation is not feasible and a new tower or towers are to be constructed, it is strongly recommended that the new tower(s) should be not more than 199 feet above ground level (AGL), and that construction techniques should not require guy wires. Such towers should be unlighted if Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations and lighting standards (FAA 2007, Patterson 2012, FAA 2013 lighting circular anticipated update) permit. Additionally, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) through recent rulemaking now requires that new towers $\geq$ 450 ft AGL contain no red-steady lights. FCC also recommends that new towers 350-450 ft AGL also contain no red-steady lights, and they will eventually recommend that new towers < 350 ft AGL convert non-flashing lights to flash with existing flashing lights. LED lights are being suggested as replacements for all new construction and for retrofits, with the intent of future synchronizing the flashes. Given these dynamics, the Service recommends using lattice tower or monopole structures for all towers < 200 ft AGL and for taller towers where feasible. The Service considers the less than 200 ft AGL option the "gold standard" and suggests that this

is the environmentally preferred industry standard for tower placement, construction and operation – i.e., towers that are unlit, unguyed, monopole or lattice, and less than 200 ft AGL.

3. If constructing multiple towers, the cumulative impacts of all the towers to migratory birds – especially to Birds of Conservation Concern (FWS 2008) and threatened and endangered species, as well as the impacts of each individual tower, should be considered during the development of a project.

4. The topography of the proposed tower site and surrounding habitat should be clearly noted, especially in regard to surrounding hills, mountains, mountain passes, ridge lines, rivers, lakes, wetlands, and other habitat types used by raptors, Birds of Conservation Concern, and state and federally listed species, and other birds of concern. Active raptor nests, especially those of Bald and Golden Eagles, should be noted, including known or suspected distances from proposed tower sites to nest locations. Nest site locations for Golden Eagles may vary between years, and unoccupied, inactive nests and nest sites may be re-occupied over multiple years. The Service's 2013 Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance, Module 1, Land-based Wind Energy, Version 2, available on our website, is a useful document (USFWS 2013).

5. If at all possible, new towers should be sited within existing "antenna farms" (i.e., clusters of towers), in degraded areas (e.g., strip mines or other heavily industrialized areas), in commercial agricultural lands, in Superfund sites, or other areas where bird habitat is poor or marginal. Towers should not be sited in or near wetlands, other known bird concentration areas (e.g., state of federal refuges, staging areas, rookeries, and Important Bird Areas), in known migratory, daily movement flyways, areas of breeding concentration, in habitat of threatened or endangered species, or key habitats for Birds of Conservation Concern (FWS 2008). Disturbance can result in effects to bird populations which may cumulatively affect their survival. The Service has recommended some disturbance-free buffers, e.g., 0.5 mi around raptor nests during the nesting season, and 1-mi disturbance free buffers for Ferruginous Hawks and Bald Eagles during nesting season in Wyoming (FWS WY Ecological Services Field Office, referenced in Manville 2007:23). The effects of towers on "prairie grouse," "sage grouse," and grassland and shrub-steppe bird species should also be considered since tall structures have been shown to result in abandonment of nest site areas and leks, especially for "prairie grouse" (Manville 2004). The issue of buffers is currently under review, especially for Bald and Golden Eagles. Additionally, towers should not be sited in areas with a high incidence of fog, mist, and low cloud ceilings.

6. If taller (> 199 ft AGL) towers requiring lights for aviation safety must be constructed, the minimum amount of pilot warning and obstruction avoidance lighting required by the FAA should be used. Unless otherwise required by the FAA, only white strobe or red strobe lights (red preferable since it is generally less displeasing to the human eye at night), or red flashing incandescent lights should be used at night, and these should be the minimum number, minimum intensity (< 2,000 candela), and minimum number of flashes per minute (i.e., longest duration between flashes/"dark phase") allowable by the FAA. The use of solid (non-flashing) warning lights at night should be avoided (Patterson 2012, Gehring et al. 2009) – see recommendation #2 above. Current research indicates that solid red lights attract night-migrating birds at a much higher rate than flashing lights (Gehring et al. 2009, Manville 2007, 2009). Recent research

indicates that use of white strobe, red strobe, or red flashing lights alone provides significant reductions in bird fatalities (Patterson 2012, Gehring et al. 2009).

7. Tower designs using guy wires for support, which are proposed to be located in known raptor or waterbird concentrations areas, daily movement routes, major diurnal migratory bird movement routes, staging areas, or stopover sites, should have daytime visual markers or bird deterrent devices installed on the wires to prevent collisions by these diurnally moving species. The efficacy of bird deterrents on guy wires to alert night migrating species has yet to be scientifically validated. For guidance on markers, see Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC). 2006. *Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines -- State of the Art in 2006.* Edison Electric Institute, APLIC, and the California Energy Commission. Washington, DC, and Sacramento, CA. 207 pp, and APLIC. 2012. *Reducing Avian Collisions with Power Lines -- the State of the Art in 2012.* Edison Electric Institute and APLIC. Washington, DC. 159 pp. Also see www.aplic.org, www.energy.ca.gov, or call 202-508-5000.

8. Towers and appendant facilities should be designed, sited, and constructed so as to avoid or minimize habitat loss within and adjacent to the tower "footprint." However, a larger tower footprint is preferable to the use of guy wires in construction. Several shorter, un-guyed towers are preferable to one, tall guyed, lighted tower. Road access and fencing should be minimized to reduce or prevent habitat fragmentation, disturbance, and the creation of barriers, and to reduce above ground obstacles to birds in flight.

9. If, prior to tower design, siting and construction, if it has been determined that a significant number of breeding, feeding and roosting birds, especially of Birds of Conservation Concern (FWS 2008), state or federally-listed bird species, and eagles are known to habitually use the proposed tower construction area, relocation to an alternate site is highly recommended. If this is not an option, seasonal restrictions on construction are advised in order to avoid disturbance, site and nest abandonment, especially during breeding, rearing and other periods of high bird activity.

10. Security lighting for on-ground facilities, equipment and infrastructure should be motion- or heat-sensitive, down-shielded, and of a minimum intensity to reduce nighttime bird attraction and eliminate constant nighttime illumination, but still allow safe nighttime access to the site (USFWS 2012, Manville 2011).

11. Representatives from the USFWS or researchers from the Research Subcommittee of the Communication Tower Working Group should be allowed access to the site to evaluate bird use; conduct dead-bird searches; place above ground net catchments below the towers (Manville 2002); and to perform studies using radar, Global Position System, infrared, thermal imagery, and acoustical monitoring, as necessary. This will allow for assessment and verification of bird movements, site use, avoidance, and mortality. The goal is to acquire information on the impacts of various tower types, sizes, configurations and lighting protocols.

12. Towers no longer in use, not re-licensed by the FCC for use, or determined to be obsolete should be removed from the site within 12 months of cessation of use, preferably sooner.

13. In order to obtain information on the usefulness of these guidelines in preventing bird strikes and better understanding impacts from habitat fragmentation, please advise USFWS personnel of the final location and specifications of the proposed tower, and which measures recommended in these guidelines were implemented. If any of these recommended measures cannot be implemented, please explain why they are not feasible. This will further advise USFWS in identifying any recurring problems with the implementation of the guidelines, which may necessitate future modifications.

Reference Sources:

Federal Aviation Administration. 2007. Obstruction marking and lighting. Advisory Circular AC 70/7460-1K. U.S. Department of Transportation.

Gehring, J., P. Kerlinger, and A.M. Manville, II. 2009. Communication towers, lights and birds: successful methods of reducing the frequency of avian collisions. Ecological Applications 19(2): 505-514. Ecological Society of America.

Gehring, J., P. Kerlinger, and A.M. Manville, II. 2011. The role of tower height and guy wires on avian collisions with communication towers. Journal of Wildlife Management 75(4): 848-855. The Wildlife Society.

Manville, A.M., II. 2002. Protocol for monitoring the impact of cellular telecommunication towers on migratory birds within the Coconino, Prescott, and Kaibab National Forests, Arizona. Protocol requested by U.S. Forest Service. 9 pp.

Manville, A.M., II. 2004. Prairie grouse leks and wind turbines: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service justification for a 5-mile buffer from leks; additional grassland songbird recommendations. Division of Migratory Bird Management, USFWS, Arlington, VA, peer-reviewed briefing paper. 17 pp.

Manville, A.M., II. 2007. Comments of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Submitted Electronically to the FCC on 47 CFR Parts 1 and 17, WT Docket No. 03-187, FCC 06-164, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, "Effects of Communication Towers on Migratory Birds." February 2, 2007. 32 pp.

Manville, A.M., II. 2009. Towers, turbines, power lines, and buildings – steps being taken by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to avoid or minimize take of migratory birds at these structures. Pages 262-272 *In* T.D. Rich, C. Arizmendi, D. Demarest, and C. Thompson (eds.). Tundra to Tropics: Connecting Habitats and People. Proceedings 4th International Partners in Flight Conference, McAllen, TX.

Manville, A.M., II. 2011. Comments of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Division of Migratory Bird Management Filed Electronically on WT Docket No. 08-61 and WT Docket No. 03-187, Regarding the Environmental Effects of the Federal Communication's Antenna Structure Registration Program. January 14, 2011. 12 pp.

Patterson, J.T., Jr. 2012. Evaluation of new obstruction lighting techniques to reduce avian fatalities. DOT/FAA/TC-TN12/9, Federal Aviation Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation. 28 pp, plus appendices.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000. Service Guidance on the Siting, Construction, Operation, and Decommissioning of Communication Towers. September 14, 2000. http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/comtow.html.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2008. Birds of Conservation Concern 2008. United States Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Migratory Bird Management, Arlington, VA. 85 pp. http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/>

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Land-Based Wind Energy Guidelines. March, 82 pp.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2013. Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance, Module 1, Land-based Wind Energy, Version 2. Division of Migratory Bird Management. April, 103 pp.